

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:	Keene	CONFIRMATION NO.	8878
SERIAL NUMBER:	10/597,990	ART UNIT:	3753
FILING DATE:	August 15, 2006	EXAMINER:	Fox, John C.
TITLE:	Combination Flow Through Injection and Isolation Valve For High Pressure Fluids		

Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

Sir:

This paper responds to the final Office Action mailed from the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 20, 2010. A petition for a three-month extension of time to extend the time of response up to and including July 20, 2010 was filed on July 14, 2010. A Notice of Appeal is filed concurrently herewith.

Appellant hereby submits that the final Office Action mailed on January 20, 2010 includes errors in the Examiner's rejections, and respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1 and 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, the Examiner did not construe the claims before performing an analysis of enablement under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, which is improper under the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.) at Section 2164.04. Further, during analysis of enablement under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the Examiner did not provide a reasonable explanation as to why the scope of protection provided by a claim is not adequately enabled by the disclosure, which is also improper under the M.P.E.P. at Section 2164.04.

I. Summary of Invention

Appellant's invention as recited in independent claim 1 features a flow through injection valve 850 (see, for example, Figure 4B and paragraph [0071]). The flow through injection valve 850 comprises a stationary member e.g., 802', and a movable member e.g., 804'. A surface of the stationary member 802 interfaces with a surface of said movable member 804, e.g., at interface 810'. The flow through injection valve 850 further comprises at least one pin valve e.g., 3, having a flow through an internal conduit e.g., 58'. The pin valve 3 is movably disposed so that the internal conduit 58' is capable of fluidically communicating with at least one flow through conduit e.g., 890', in the movable member 804'. The pin valve 3 is movably disposed so that the internal conduit 58' is capable of fluidically communicating with another flow through conduit, e.g., 886', in said movable member 804' (see, for example, Figure 4B and paragraph [0081]).

II. Issues

Whether claims 1 and 5-7 are improperly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

III. Arguments

Appellant submits that before any analysis of enablement can occur, it is necessary for the Examiner to construe the claims. Further, during analysis, the Examiner has the burden of providing a reasonable explanation as to why the scope of protection provided by a claim is not adequately enabled by the disclosure even when there is no evidence of operability without undue experimentation beyond the disclosed embodiments. In doing so, the examiner should specifically identify what information is missing and why one skilled in the art could not supply the information without undue experimentation.

In this case, the Examiner at page 3 of the final Office Action makes general assertions that the specification fails to enablingly disclose an operable valve, a pin valve, or flow paths. However, the final Office Action provides no indication as to whether the Examiner construed the claims prior to an analysis of enablement. For example, the Examiner asserts that the specification fails to enablingly disclose flow paths. However, flow paths are not claimed by Appellant. Thus, the Examiner here has not provided a reasonable explanation as to why the scope of protection provided by the claims is not adequately enabled by the disclosure.

Moreover, the final Office Action does not identify missing information and why one skilled in the art could not supply the information without undue experimentation. Rather, the Examiner takes a broad brush approach in attempting to describe why the specification is non-enabling. The only evidence provided is the Examiner's reliance on his 26+ years of experience of successfully understanding other patent applications. Appellant submits that this is an insufficient explanation as to why one skilled in the art could not supply missing information without undue experimentation.

In addition, Appellant submits that, contrary to the final Office Action, the disclosure as filed satisfies the requirement of enablement set forth under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and the M.P.E.P. at Section 2164.01, namely, that one reasonably skilled in the art could make or use the invention from the disclosures in the patent coupled with information known in the art without undue experimentation. In support of Appellant's position, Appellant refer to Figure 4B and corresponding details at paragraphs [0062]-[0082] of the specification as filed.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing remarks, Appellant submits that the rejection of claims 1 and 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is improper.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1 and 5-7 is therefore respectfully requested.

In connection with this matter, please charge any otherwise unpaid fees which may be due, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2295.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 20, 2010
Reg. No. 58,037

Tel. No.: (508) 303-2003
Fax No.: (508) 303-0005

/Timothy P. Collins/
Timothy P. Collins
Attorney for Appellant
Guerin & Rodriguez, LLP
5 Mount Royal Avenue
Marlborough, MA 01752

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Docket Number (Optional)

W-359-02 (WAT-005US)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

on _____

Signature _____

Typed or printed name _____

Application Number

10597990

Filed

08-15-2006

First Named Inventor

Keene

Art Unit

3753

Examiner

Fox, John C.

Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.

The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).

Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.

I am the



applicant/inventor.

/Timothy P. Collins/



assignee of record of the entire interest.

See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.
(Form PTO/SB/96)

Timothy P. Collins

Typed or printed name



attorney or agent of record.

Registration number 58037

508-303-2003

Telephone number



attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.

Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____

July 20, 2010

Date

NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.

Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.



*Total of 1 forms are submitted.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.